

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA**  
**SAVANNAH DIVISION**

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SAVANNAH DIV.

2005 SEP -8 PM 4:37



LENARD HARRIS,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
v.	)	Case No. CV405-152
	)	
AL ST. LAWRENCE, Sheriff, Chatham	)	
County,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The instant 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition was filed on August 25, 2005, after being executed by petitioner on August 18, 2005. Doc. 1. Because it appears from the face of his petition that petitioner has failed to exhaust available state remedies, the Court recommends that the petition be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to exhaust.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A), “[a]n application for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court shall not be granted unless it appears that the applicant has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the State.” See O’Sullivan v. Boerckel, 526 U.S. 838, 842 (1999) (“Federal habeas relief is available to state prisoners only after they have exhausted their claims in

state court.”). A state prisoner is required to allow the state court an opportunity to correct a constitutional error before a federal court may consider the case. Id. at 843.

Petitioner states that he is being held at the Chatham County Detention Center on a contempt matter until he pays \$100,625.43 in child support. Doc. 1. He did not appeal the judgment and did not file a state petition for writ of habeas corpus. The instant § 2254 petition was signed and dated by petitioner only eight days after entry of the judgment. Petitioner therefore has deprived the state courts of a fair opportunity to address his claims before bringing his petition to this Court. Accordingly, the Court recommends that the instant petition be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to exhaust state remedies, so that petitioner may pursue the appropriate remedies in state court.<sup>1</sup>

**SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of  
September, 2005.**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

---

<sup>1</sup>The Court advises petitioner that the filing of this premature federal habeas petition does not serve to toll the one-year statute of limitations for filing § 2254 petitions set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). Duncan v. Walker, 533 U.S. 167, 181-82 (2001). Only “a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review” will toll the statute. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2).

**United States District Court**  
***Southern District of Georgia***

Lenard Harris

vs

Al St. Lawrence

)

)

)

CASE NUMBER CV405-152

DIVISION

Savannah

The undersigned, a regularly appointed and qualified deputy in the office of the Clerk of this district, while conducting the business of the Court for said division, does hereby certify the following:

1. Pursuant to the instructions from the Court and in the performance of my official duties, I personally placed in the United States Mail a sealed envelope, and properly addressed to each of the persons, parties or attorneys named below; and
2. That the aforementioned enveloped contained a copy of the document dated 9/9/05, which is part of the official record of this case.

Date of Mailing: 9/9/05

Date of Certificate



same date,

or

Scott L. Poff, Clerk

By: 

Deputy Clerk

Name and Address

Leonard Harris 2B-8 1074 Carl Griffin Drive Savannah, GA 31405



Copy placed in Minutes



Copy given to Judge



Copy given to Magistrate